The Player Kernel

Lucas Maystre, Victor Kristof, Antonio González Ferrer, Matthias Grossglauser School of Computer and Communication Sciences, EPFL



MLSA workshop @ ECML-PKDD — September 19th, 2016





Our entry to the EURO 2016 Prediction Competition, Challenge 1

Task: probabilistic prediction of match outcomes



Our entry to the EURO 2016 Prediction Competition, Challenge 1

Task: probabilistic prediction of match outcomes





Our entry to the EURO 2016 Prediction Competition, Challenge 1

Task: probabilistic prediction of match outcomes



M national teams. Team *u* has "strength" *s*_{*u*}

M national teams. Team *u* has "strength" *s*_{*u*}

$$P(u \succ v) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-(s_u - s_v)]}$$

M national teams. Team *u* has "strength" *s*_{*u*}







Key challenges with national teams:

- They play few matches every year: recent data is sparse
- 2. Their squad change frequently: **old data is stale**



Inspiration

Many players play against each other in **club competitions**

Can we **transfer information** from club matches to international matches?

Source: <u>http://www.estadao.com.br/</u> <u>infograficos/onde-atuam-os-736-</u> <u>jogadores-da-copa-2010,esportes,280906</u>

Embed teams in the space of players

$$s_u = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{L}_u} \tilde{s}_i$$

Embed teams in the space of players

$$P(u \succ v) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-(s_u - s_v)]}$$

$$s_u = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{L}_u} \tilde{s}_i$$

Embed teams in the **space of players**. $s_u = \sum \tilde{s}_i$

$$P(u \succ v) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-(s_u - s_v)]}$$



Embed teams in the **space of players**. $s_u = \sum \tilde{s}_i$

$$P(u \succ v) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-(s_u - s_v)]}$$

Club matches and international matches share the same parameters.



Embed teams in the space of players. $s_u = \sum \tilde{s}_i$

$$P(u \succ v) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-(s_u - s_v)]}$$

Club matches and international matches share the same parameters.



The number of parameters explodes. Seems like it will lead to statistical and computational issues.



Bayesian approach

Keep a distribution over parameters instead of optimizing the likelihood.

 $p(\tilde{s} \mid D) \propto p$ posterior distribution

$$p(\mathcal{D} \mid \tilde{s}) \times p(\tilde{s})$$

likelihood prior distribution
(e.g. Gaussian)

Bayesian approach

 $p(\tilde{s} \mid D) \propto p$ posterior distribution



Keep a **distribution over parameters** instead of optimizing the likelihood.

$$p(\mathcal{D} \mid \tilde{s}) \times p(\tilde{s})$$

likelihood prior distribution
(e.g. Gaussian)

Bayesian approach

 $p(\tilde{s} \mid D) \propto p$ posterior distribution



Keep a **distribution over parameters** instead of optimizing the likelihood.

$$p(\mathcal{D} \mid \tilde{s}) \times p(\tilde{s})$$

likelihood prior distribution
(e.g. Gaussian)

Statistical issues solved? not clear Computational issues solved? no

In fine, we are only interested in $p(\tilde{s}^{\top} z \mid D)$



In fine, we are only interested in $p(\tilde{s}^{\top} z \mid D)$

Accurate estimation of all parameters is not necessary



Accurate estimation of all parameters is **not necessary**

$f(\boldsymbol{z}) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{z} \longrightarrow p(\boldsymbol{f} \mid \mathcal{D}) \propto p(\mathcal{D} \mid \boldsymbol{f}) \times p(\boldsymbol{f})$



Accurate estimation of all parameters is **not necessary**

$f(\boldsymbol{z}) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{z} \longrightarrow p(\boldsymbol{f} \mid \mathcal{D}) \propto p(\mathcal{D} \mid \boldsymbol{f}) \times \underline{p(\boldsymbol{f})}$





Accurate estimation of all parameters is **not necessary**

$f(\boldsymbol{z}) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{z} \longrightarrow p(\boldsymbol{f} \mid \mathcal{D}) \propto p(\mathcal{D} \mid \boldsymbol{f}) \times \underline{p(\boldsymbol{f})}$

Inference can be done in the dual space





Accurate estimation of all parameters is **not necessary**

$f(\boldsymbol{z}) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{z} \longrightarrow p(\boldsymbol{f} \mid \mathcal{D}) \propto p(\mathcal{D} \mid \boldsymbol{f}) \times \underline{p(\boldsymbol{f})}$

Inference can be done in the **dual space**

 $f(\boldsymbol{z}) \sim \mathcal{GP}[\boldsymbol{0}, k(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}')]$





In fine, we are only interested in $p(\tilde{s}^{\top} z \mid D)$

Accurate estimation of all parameters is not necessary

$f(\boldsymbol{z}) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{z} \longrightarrow p(\boldsymbol{f} \mid \mathcal{D}) \propto p(\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D})$

Inference can be done in the dual space

 $f(\boldsymbol{z}) \sim \mathcal{GP}[\boldsymbol{0}, k(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}')]$



$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{f}) \times p(\boldsymbol{f}) \\ \hline \mathbf{Cov}[f(\boldsymbol{z}), f(\boldsymbol{z}')] &= \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{z}^\top \boldsymbol{z}' \end{aligned}$$

The player kernel!

The cube





Credit: Zoubin Ghahramani





Dataset

Collected data on **24 887 matches** from main football competitions over the last **10 years**.

33 157 distinct players appear in the dataset.















FS.	PQ 1	55	11 II	S	17

Results

Logarithmic loss against competing approaches in 2008, 2012 and 2016.





Ternary outcomes

Rao and Kupper (1967) proposed the following extension.

$$P(u \succ v) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-(s_u - s_v - \alpha)]}$$

$$P(u \equiv v) = 1 - P(u \succ v) - P(v \succ u)$$
$$\propto P(u \succ v) \cdot P(v \succ u)$$





Ternary outcomes

Rao and Kupper (1967) proposed the following extension.

$$P(u \succ v) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-(s_u - s_v - \alpha)]}$$

$$P(u \equiv v) = 1 - P(u \succ v) - P(v \succ v)$$
$$\propto P(u \succ v) \cdot P(v \succ u)$$

A draw is (essentially) equivalent to **one win** and **one loss**.



